

T d d f d d E 1 f d
T d f P d

d d f d d
d f d d
1 , .265)

d d d d
d d d
d f d
T d
d d
d d
d d
greening d

-45 0 ()-350 53 744.6T
1653220 (726. 64.2(T.40 6 () [(652) 105(d) T. 64.2(d 1.2(())

2005), f () -
N I f M H
d f d E d , f ,

a - a a a a
 a a a a a
 4. T ab


ba ab a T
 " b a a " a
 S H a
 a a Da

a b a a a b a
 a a b a
 1990). A a a
 a a a a a


b a a a a
 a bab
 (H , 1997). A a a
 a a b

a a a a a
 a a a a a
 a a a a a

M (155) d f d M
 C d f
 d ff) d d f
 f ff) d M
 d M
 d (, 163).
 I d I
 d d d d
 d d d d
 d d N
 d f d
 d d



Perceived Partner Responsiveness as
 a Central Organizing Principle for
 Relationship Science



social construction of motivated construction. The relationship between social construction and motivated construction is complex and multifaceted. It involves the interaction of various factors, including social norms, cultural values, and individual experiences. This interaction shapes how individuals perceive and construct their relationships.

Research in this area has shown that social construction plays a significant role in the development of relationships. For example, social norms and cultural values can influence how individuals perceive and construct their relationships. This can lead to different types of relationships and different outcomes.

Furthermore, motivated construction is also an important factor in the development of relationships. Motivated construction refers to the process by which individuals actively construct their relationships based on their own goals and motivations. This can lead to more stable and satisfying relationships.

The relationship between social construction and motivated construction is therefore a complex one. It involves the interaction of various factors, and it is an area that continues to be explored by researchers in the field of relationship science.

In addition, the concept of perceived is also relevant to the study of relationships. Perceived refers to the way in which individuals perceive their relationships. This can be influenced by social construction and motivated construction, and it can have a significant impact on the outcomes of relationships.

Overall, the study of relationships is a complex and multifaceted one. It involves the interaction of various factors, and it is an area that continues to be explored by researchers in the field of relationship science.







Figure 2. M d f d f d d f f



1. b a a a a
 a a a a a
 a
2. a a a a a
 a a a a a
 b
3. a a a a a
 a a a a a
 a
4. a a a a a
 a a a a a
 a

Personal well-being correlates of perceived partner responsiveness





d), *false alarms* (d), d *non-events*. 2003, P & , 2006), d d

d f d d d d d f d d ff d, f f d d

d d d d d d (2003, 2005), d d d d f d d f d d f d d f

d d d d d d (2001) E d d d f d d d f d

(. 255). (2001) f

2004).

[Heavily distorted text block]

(& F)



[Heavily distorted text block]

, 2002).

(& M)

[Heavily distorted text block]

, 2006).

[Heavily distorted text block]

M

(& K)



, 2002).

[Heavily distorted text block]

References

Feenstra, R. F. (1997). The evolution of attachment theory. In W. J. Freedman (Ed.), *Handbook of social psychology* (4th ed., pp. 60-74). New York: Wiley.

Hanson, R. L., & Reis, H. T. (1996). Attachment theory and social psychology. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 71, 110-12.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (1988). Attachment theory and social psychology. *American Psychologist*, 43, 260-266.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2002). Attachment theory. *Association for Psychological Science Observer*, 15, 10.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2006). Attachment theory. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 43, 32-342.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2000). Attachment theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79, 53-61.

Reis, H. T. (1993). A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development. New York: Guilford.

Reis, H. T. (1990). Charles Darwin: A new life. New York: Basic Books.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (1988). The developmental course of marital dysfunction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 473-483.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2002). Attachment theory. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 19, 235-263.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2004). How healthy are we? A national study of well-being at mid-life. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 87, 100-110.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2003). Attachment theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84, 1-23.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2005). Attachment theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88, 510-531.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2005). Attachment theory. *Health Psychology*, 24, 526-531.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (Eds.). (1988). *Attachment theory and social psychology* (Ed.), *The self*. New York: Guilford.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (Eds.). (2005). *Attachment theory* (Ed.), *Family psychology* (pp. 43-63). New York: Guilford.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (1990). Attachment theory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 41, 165-180.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2001). Attachment theory. In M. H. Bond & M. H. Bond (Eds.), *Blackwell handbook of social psychology: Vol. 2 interpersonal processes* (pp. 253-270). New York: Wiley.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (1990). Attachment theory. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 19, 604-611.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2004). The willingness to express emotions in relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 87, 100-110.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (Eds.). *The meaning and measurement of social support* (pp. 10-124). New York: Guilford.

Reis, H. T. (2004). Attachment theory. *American Psychologist*, 59, 676-684.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2000). Attachment theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78, 1053-1073.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (2004). Attachment theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 87, 363-372.

Reis, H. T., & Shaver, P. (1988). Attachment theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 103, 201-302.

Chen, M., E. S. Ruth, & K. S. (2006).
Current
Directions in Psychological Science, 16, 1-2.

K, H.H., Ad., E., H.
H., H., T., (1-2) Close

relationships

PA, H. T., & M. (2004). *It's the feedback that counts: Distinguishing different venues for making capitalization attempts and their relative benefits.*

U. of M. d. , N U .
PA, H. T., T. F. F., M. M. P. (2004). M

M. C. (2004). M

U. d. F. I. d. .
P. ff. (1) .
E. C. .
Journal of Personality and Social Psychology,

57, 106-107. .
P., ., I., & . F. (2001).

C. f. & .
(Ed.), *Personal relationships: Implications for clinical and community psychology* (. 15-41).

(2001). d. , F. T., & .
(2001). d. f. E. d. d.

d f . Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 80, 35-52.

. F. (2005). .
4 . Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 28, 247-311.

. F. (1977). f f f .
T. f d . American Psychologist, 32, 11-12.

(1963). I. d .
Educational and Psychological Measurement, 23, 153-155.

. & M. (1977). f .
C f . d . f .

I. M. F. (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology*, Vol. 29 (. 20-26).

. & M. (Ed.) ().
C