The Free Klein Gordon Field Theory

Jeremy Atkins

April 20, 2018

Abstract

A single-particle relativistic theory turns out to be inadequate for many situations. Thus, we begin to develop a multi-particle relativistic description of quantum mechanics starting from classical analogies. We start with a Lagrangian description, and use it to build a Hamiltonian description we can then quantize. We then illustrate the decomposition of the eld into its positive and negative energy components, and de ne the creation and annihilation operators.

1 Introduction

Relativistic quantum mechanics turns out to be inadequately described by a single-particle theory. One of the primary reasons for this is that a relativistic particle may have enough energy to create other particles, and thus any theory describing it must account for this.

A theory which describes an in nite-degree-of-freedom system could be built out of a function de ned over allersystebuitoile5205tow8(era7(y)-4408(bsuc7(y)hTJ 0-11.955 Td [(pais.ng-44 We will assume there exists an action S de ned as normal, which we can write in terms of L: $7₁$

$$
S = \int_{t_i}^{L} dt L = \int_{t_i}^{L} dt d^3x L = \int_{t_i}^{L} t_f d^4x L
$$
 (2)

We will further assume that L depends only on the eld variable and its rst derivative, i.e.

$$
L = L(\ (x): \mathscr{Q} \ (x)) \tag{3}
$$

Now we will change the eld arbitrarily and in nitesimally to nd what conditions will result in a stationary action

$$
(x) \quad / \quad \mathcal{A}(x) = (x) + (x) \tag{4}
$$

and apply the vanishing boundary condition

$$
(\mathbf{x}; t_i) = (\mathbf{x}; t_f) = 0 \tag{5}
$$

Now we can look at an in nitesimal change in S :

$$
S = \frac{Z_{t_f}}{t_i} d^4 x \quad \Box \tag{6}
$$

We can expand this using the total derivative of \mathcal{L} :

$$
= \int_{t_i}^{Z} t_f \, d^4 x \quad \frac{\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{Q} \cdot (x)} \quad (x) + \frac{\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}}
$$

We will pick the Lagrangian density

$$
L = \frac{1}{2}\varpi \quad \varpi \quad \frac{1}{2}m^2 \quad \text{(11)}
$$

This choice can be motivated by looking at the Lagrangian for a classical harmonic oscillator,

$$
L = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 - \frac{1}{2}m!^2x^2
$$
 (12)

We see that if we treat (x) as x , ∞ is analogous to v , and doing so gives us (11).

Evaluating both sides to be

$$
\frac{\mathcal{Q}L}{\mathcal{Q}(\chi)} = m^2 \quad (\chi) \tag{13a}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathscr{Q}L}{\mathscr{Q}\mathscr{Q}}\left(\chi\right) = \frac{\mathscr{Q}}{\mathscr{Q}\mathscr{Q}}\qquad \frac{1}{2}\mathscr{Q}\qquad \mathscr{Q}\tag{13b}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2}\qquad \qquad \frac{\mathscr{Q}}{\mathscr{Q}\mathscr{Q}}\qquad \mathscr{Q}\qquad +\mathscr{Q}\qquad \qquad \frac{\mathscr{Q}}{\mathscr{Q}\mathscr{Q}}\mathscr{Q}\qquad \qquad (13c)
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2} \qquad (\mathscr{Q} + \mathscr{Q}) \tag{13d}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2} \qquad (2\varnothing \qquad) \tag{13e}
$$

$$
= \mathcal{Q} \tag{13f}
$$

with the Hamiltonian itself de ned as

$$
H = \int_{0}^{2} xH \tag{17}
$$

Clearly, these are de ned very similarly to their classical de nitions.

equations with :

$$
-(x) = f(x); Hg
$$

\n
$$
= (x); \quad d^{3}y \quad \frac{1}{2}^{2}(y) + \frac{1}{2}(r(y)) (r(y)) + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} d^{3}xf(x); \quad {}^{2}(y)g_{x^{0}=y^{0}}
$$

\n
$$
= d^{3}y (y)f(x); \quad {}^{2}(y)g_{x^{0}=y^{0}}
$$

\n
$$
= d^{3}y (y;x^{0})f(x); \quad {}^{2}(y)g_{x^{0}=y^{0}}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} d^{3}y (y;x^{0})^{3}(x y)
$$

\n
$$
= (x)
$$

\n(25)

Here we have used that the Hamiltonian is time-independent, so we can assert that $x^0 = y^0$, allowing us to use the equal-time relation (19). We can go through a similar process to $nd -$:

$$
-(x) = f(x); \frac{Hg}{2}
$$

\n
$$
= (x); \quad d^{3}y \quad \frac{1}{2}^{2}(y) + \frac{1}{2}(r(y)) (r(y)) + \frac{1}{2}m^{2}
$$

\n
$$
= d^{3}y(r(y)) f(x); r(y)g_{x^{0}=y^{0}}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{7}{2} + m^{2}(y)f(x); \quad {}^{2}(y)g_{x^{0}=y^{0}}(y)
$$

\n
$$
= d^{3}y(r(y;x^{0}) - (3(x-y)) + m^{2}(y;x^{0})(3(x-y))
$$

\n
$$
= r r(x) m^{2}(x) = r^{2}(x) m^{2}(x)
$$
 (26)

These rst-order Hamilton equations give us the second-order equation

$$
f'(x) = -\left(x\right) = r^2 \left(x\right) \quad m^2 \left(x\right)
$$
\n
$$
f\left(\frac{1}{r^2} \left(x\right) + \frac{1}{r^2} x\right) \text{ equations}
$$

4 Field Decomposition

The following plane wave equation set forms a complete basis for solutions to the Klein-Gordon equation [1]:

$$
(x) = e^{-ikx} \tag{30}
$$

We can use this basis to expand in this basis:
 $\frac{7}{7}$

$$
(x) = C \t d4 k e^{ikx} (k) \t C = \frac{1}{(2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$
 (31)

This is essentially a Fourier transform of $\tilde{c}(k)$, with C introduced for later convenience. We can now plug this into the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$
C(e e + m2)2 dk e ik x ~lt; (k) = 0
$$

\n⁷
\n⁸ C d^k (e e + m²) e ^{ik x ~lt; (k)} = 0
\n⁷
\n⁹ C d^k (k² + m²) ~ (k)e ^{ik x} = 0\n(32)

So at least one of the following must be true:

$$
k^2 = m^2 \tag{33}
$$

$$
\tilde{f}(k) = 0 \tag{34}
$$

So, $\tilde{ }$ is only non-vanishing when $k^2 = m^2$; we'll de ne $\tilde{ }$ in the following way to capture this:

$$
(\kappa) = \frac{\text{So}}{\text{.}}^{\circ}
$$

Now we can plug this new form into (35b):

$$
(x) = C \t dk^{0} d^{k}k \frac{1}{2E_{k}} \t (k^{0} E_{k}) + (k^{0} + E_{k})
$$

\n
$$
e^{i(k^{0}x^{0} + \mathbf{x})} a(k^{0}; \mathbf{k})
$$

\n
$$
= C \t d^{k}k \frac{1}{2E_{k}} e^{i(-E_{k}x^{0} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})} a(E_{k}; \mathbf{k}) + e^{i(E_{k}x^{0} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})} a(-E_{k}; \mathbf{k})
$$
 (39)

We will replace E_k with k_0 and switch **k** χ k in the second term to obtain Z

$$
(x) = C \int d^3k \frac{1}{2E_k} x^2
$$

References

- [1] Ashok Das. Lectures on Quantum Field Theory. World Scienti c, 2008.
- [2] Ben Saltzman. Second quantization of the klein-gordon equation. 2018.