## Solution of the Phase Problem in the Theory of Structure Determination of Crystals from X-Ray Diffraction Experiments

Emil Wolf[\\*](#page-1-0)

Department of Physics and Astronomy and the Institute of Optics, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA (Received 6 May 2009; published 10 August 2009)

We present a solution to a long-standing basic problem encountered in the theory of structure determination of crystalline media from x-ray diffraction experiments; namely, the problem of determining phases of the diffracted beams.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.075501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.075501) PACS numbers: 61.05.cc, 61.05.cf, 42.25.Kb

In a well-known paper published almost 100 years ago, Laue laid the foundation for a method for determining the structure of crystalline media from x-ray diffraction experiment [\[1](#page-1-1)]. Since then the method has become of basic importance in solid state physics (see, for example, [\[2](#page-2-0),[3](#page-2-1)]) and in other fields, sometimes using neutrons or electrons rather than x rays. However, as is well known, the method suffers from a serious limitation due to the inability to measure phases of the diffracted beams.

In this Letter we show how the phases may be determined. Before doing so we point out that ''the phase problem,'' as usually formulated, has no solution and is, in fact, rather meaningless. We will reformulate it and show that the reformulated problem has a solution which allows unambiguous determination of the crystal structure to be made [\[4\]](#page-2-2).

<span id="page-0-0"></span>We begin with the following observation: In usual treatments, the incident x-ray beam is assumed to be monochromatic. That is an idealization, because monochromatic beams are not realizable. Any beam which can be produced in a laboratory is, at best, quasimonochromatic; i.e., its spectral width  $\Delta \omega$  is much smaller than its mean frequency  $\overline{\omega}$ . Both the amplitudes and the phases of the field os-<br>cillations are random variables and bence even if they cillations are random variables and, hence, even if they out a three-dimensional domain D, i.e., if  $\left| \right|$   $(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega_0)$  = 1 for all  $\mathbf{r}_1 \in D$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_2 \in D$ , then the cross-spectral density function of the field at that frequency has necessarily the factorized form

$$
W(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega_0) = u^*(\mathbf{r}_1, \omega_0) u(\mathbf{r}_2, \omega_0).
$$
 (6)

<span id="page-1-4"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span>Moreover, throughout the domain D,  $u(\mathbf{r}, \omega_0)$  satisfies the Helmholtz equation

$$
(\nabla^2 + k_0^2)u(\mathbf{r}, \omega_0) = 0,\tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-1-3"></span>where  $k_0 = \omega_0/c$ , c being the speed of light in vacuum. If we set

$$
u(\mathbf{r}, \omega_0) = |u(\mathbf{r}, \omega_0)|e^{i - \langle \mathbf{r}, \omega_0 \rangle}, \tag{8}
$$

we readily find from Eqs. ([5](#page-0-0)), ([6\)](#page-1-2), and ([8\)](#page-1-3) that

$$
(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \omega_0) = \exp\{i[-(\mathbf{r}_2, \omega_0) - (-(\mathbf{r}_1, \omega_0)]\}.
$$
 (9)

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>In view of Eq. ([7\)](#page-1-4) the function  $u(\mathbf{r}, \omega_0)$  may be identified with the space-dependent part of a monochromatic wave function  $v(\mathbf{r}, t) = u(\mathbf{r}, \omega_0) \exp(-i\omega_0 t)$ . Thus we have<br>shown that one may associate with any wide-sense statisshown that one may associate with any wide-sense statis-

- <span id="page-2-0"></span>[2] R. W. James, in Optical Principles of the Diffraction of X-rays, edited by W. H. Bragg and W. L. Bragg, The Crystalline State Vol. 2 (G. Bell and Sons, London, 1948).
- <span id="page-2-1"></span>[3] A. Guinier and D. L. Dexter, X-Ray Studies of Materials (Interscience, New York, 1963).
- <span id="page-2-2"></span>[4] By unambiguous determination of the crystal structure we mean, of course, determination of the Fourier components of the structure which are represented by spatial-frequency vectors whose end points are contained within the Ewald limiting sphere (Ref. [\[5\]](#page-2-3), p. 701).
- <span id="page-2-3"></span>[5] M. Born and E. Wolf, Principles of Optics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999), 7th ed.
- [6] E. Wolf, Introduction to the theory of Coherence and Polarization of Light (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2007).
- [7] Examples of light vibrations which are mutually coherent but not monochromatic are discussed in H. Roychowdhry and E. Wolf, Opt. Commun. 248, 327 (2005).
- [8] D. Attwood, Soft X-Rays and Extreme Ultraviolet Radiation (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2000).
- [9] Y. Liu, M. Seminario, F. G. Tomasel, C. Chang, J. J. Rocca, and D. T. Attwood, Phys. Rev. A 63, 033802 (2001).
- [10] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1995).
- [11] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Opt. Commun. 36, 247 (1981).
- [12] (a) S. S. K. Titus, A. Wasan, J. S. Vaishya, and H. C. Kandpal, Opt. Commun. 173, 45 (2000); (b) V. N. Kumar and D. N. Rao, J. Mod. Opt. 48, 1455 (2001).
- [13] D. F. James and E. Wolf, Opt. Commun. 145, 1 (1998).
- [14] E. Wolf, Opt. Lett. 28, 5 (2003).
- [15] A. Dogariu and G. Popescu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 243902 (2002).