

A REMARK ON AN EQUATION OF WAVE MAPS TYPE WITH
VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS

DAN-ANDREI GEBA

Theorem 1.1. *Let $3 \leq n \leq 5$ and assume $g \in L^2 L^1$. The Cauchy problem associated to (9) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > \frac{n}{2}$.*

Remark 1.2. *The hypothesis on the regularity of the metric g is related to the fact that, for both (8) and (9), we have to control X^{s_i} norms, which are in fact $L^2 L^2$ norms. A typical cross term to estimate is*

$$\|k \partial^2 g\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq \|k \partial^2 g\|_{L^2 L^\infty} \|k\|_{L^\infty L^2}$$

One needs to control the X^{s_i} norms, which are in fact $L^2 L^2$ norms. A typical cross term to estimate is

In the next section, for completeness, we will reintroduce the notations, de ni-

then

$$(32) \quad \|k_S\|_{L^p L^q} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{n-1}{q} - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) d^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{p} + \frac{n-1}{q} - \frac{n-1}{2} \right)} \|k\|_{X^s; d}$$

Remark 2.8. *In the above embeddings, one can use also the index $q = 1$. We will rely in particular on the triplets*

$$\left(\frac{n}{2}; 1; 1 \right) \quad \left(\frac{n-1}{2}; 2; 1 \right)$$

noting that for $n = 3$ and $(; p; q) = (1; 2; 1)$, one loses in the previous bounds either a \ln or ϵ , with $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrary small. However, this loss is harmless because it is covered by the strict inequalities imposed on the exponents. Therefore

Then one can write

$$g u = \sum_{=1}^1 g_{>\sqrt{-}} S u + \sum_{=1}^1$$

a $L^2 L^2 \cdot L^1 L^1$ one. We focus on (41). The first two cross terms can be estimated directly, using (35):

$$\begin{aligned} & \lesssim \|krS v\|_{L^2} \|S u\|_{L^2} \cdot \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|g_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \|krS v\|_{L^2 L^\infty} \|kS u\|_{X_{j, \langle \cdot \rangle}^s} \\ & \lesssim \|kS v\|_{L^2} \|rS u\|_{L^2} \cdot \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|g_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \|kS v\|_{L^2 L^\infty} \|kS u\|_{X_{j, \langle \cdot \rangle}^s} \end{aligned}$$

More delicate terms appear when $g_{\langle \cdot \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ acts on the product $S v S u$. The first

$$(45) \quad \|kS u\|_{S^v} \|k_{X^{s;}}\| \leq \|S^{s+2} k_{X^{s;}}\| \|k_{X^{s;}}\| \|k_{X^{s;}}\|$$

$$(46) \quad \|kS u\|_{S^v} \|k_{X^{s;}}^2\| \leq \|S^{n-1+2s} k_{X^{s;}}^2\| \sum_{d=1}^n \|k_{X^{s;d}}\| \|k_{X^{s;d}}\|$$

It turns out that this is all that is needed to infer (42) (see also Proposition 3.7 in [2]).

Using the duality relation (29) and the fact that $s > \frac{n}{2}$, one can reduce (43) to

$$\|X^{s;}\| \|X^{1-s;1}\| \|X^{1-s;1}\| + L^2 H^{2-s}$$

which is then treated by considering decompositions (6.9738) of Tf (6T37h3) to decompositions (0-371v-371e. 171) (then) h is case Td 8 is in

