# Political Science 278 Foundations of Modern International Politics { provisional syllabus

Hein Goemans

Harkness 337

#### Course Requirements

Participation in the seminar comprises 25% of your grade. The short paper counts for another 25% of your grade, while the nal paper counts for 50%.

I understand that students sometimes are faced with urgent situations, either of a personal or academic nature { e.g., a reading is not available or cannot be found { and in those cases, of course I welcome e-mails. Otherwise, I strongly prefer students show up for o ce hours, because dealing with 20 individual e-mails, and going back and forth, swallows enormous amounts of my time and concentration.

#### **Books**

The following books will be required reading this semester. I recommend you buy your books through Amazon.

Kalevi J. Holsti, *Peace and War: Armed Con icts and international Order, 1648-1989.* (Cambridge Studies in International Relations). New York: Cambridge University Press. (Amazon link: http://www.amazon.com/Peace-War-Conflicts-International-dp/0521399297/ref=sr\_1\_2?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1440441268&sr=1-2&keywords=Kalevi+Holsti

Jordan Branch, *The Cartographic State: Maps, Territory, and the Origins of Sovereignty.* Cambridge University Press (2014). Cambridge Studies in International Relations

## Academic Integrity

Be familiar with the University's policies on academic integrity and disciplinary action (http://www.rochester.edu/living/urhere/handbook/discipline2.html#XII). Violators of University regulations on academic integrity will be dealt with promptly, which means that your grade will su er, and I will forward your case to the Chair of the College Board on Academic Honesty, on which I served.

#### Course Outline

### Thursday September 3

#### Class Cancelled (APSA Convention

Thursday September 10

## 1. The State of the Discipline

James D. Fearon, \Rationalist Explanations for War," *International Organization*, Vol.49 (3) (Summer 1995), 379{414.

Dan Reiter, \Exploring the Bargaining Model of War," *Perspectives on Politics* 1, 1 (2003): pp.27-47

Kenneth A. Schultz and Hein Goemans, \Aims, Claims and the Bargaining Model,", unpublished ms.

Thursday September 17

#### 2. A Historical Overview

Kalevi J. Holsti, *Peace and War: Armed C0n icts and international Order,* 1648-1989

#### Thursday October 1

## 4. Nationalism, Ethnicity, Civilizations?

Alexander B. Murphy, \Historical Justi cations for Territorial Claims." *Annals of the Association of American Geographers.* Vol. 80, No. 4, pp. 531{548.

Scott Abramson and David Carter, \The Historical Origins of Territorial Disputes," Unpublished ms.

Giacomo Chiozza, \Is There a Clash of Civilizations? Evidence from Patterns of International Con ict Involvement, 1946-97." *Journal of Peace Research*, November 2002 vol. 39 no. 6 711-734.

Barry R. Posen, \Nationalism, the Mass Army, and Military Power." *International Security*, Vol. 18, No. 2 (Fall, 1993), pp. 80-124

Alexander B. Murphy. 2002. \National Claims to Territory in the Modern State System: Geographical Considerations," in *Geopolitics*, Vol. 7, No. 2 (Autumn), pp. 193{214

John A. Hall and Sinisa Malesevicc, eds. 2013. *Nationalism and War*. New York: Cambridge University Press. Selected Chapters.

Hein Goemans and Kenneth A. Schultz, \The Politics of Territorial Claims: A Geospatial Approach Applied to Africa," unpublished ms.

### Thursday October 8

## 5. Religion?

Hassner, Ron E., War on Sacred Grounds, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2009. Available at

http://www.amazon.com/War-Sacred-Grounds-Ron-Hassner/dp/0801448069/ref=sr\_1\_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1319143643&sr=1-1

## Thursday October 15

#### 6. Loot?

Liberman, Peter. *Does Conquest Pay?* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1998.

#### Thursday October 22

#### Thursday October 29

## 8. Territory?

Hensel, Paul. `Charting a Course to Con ict: Territorial Issues and Interstate Con ict, 1816-1992.' Con ict Management and Peace Science 15, 1 (Fall): 43-73, 1996. Available at: http://www.paulhensel.org/vita.html

Hensel, Paul. \Territory: Theory and Evidence on Geography and Con ict." In Vasquez, John, ed. *What do we know about war?* Lanham: Rowman & Little eld, 2000.

Paul R. Hensel, Sara McLaughlin Mitchell, Thomas E. Sowers II and Clayton L. Thyne. \Bones of Contention: Comparing Territorial, Maritime and River Issues." *Journal of Con ict Resolution* 52, 1 (February): 117-143.

Huth, Paul. `Territory: Why Are Territorial Disputes between States a Central Cause of International Con ict?' In Vasquez, John A., ed. *What do we know about war?* Lanham: Rowman & Little eld, 2000.

Goertz, Gary and Diehl, Paul, 1992. *Territorial Change and International Con ict*. New York: Routledge, 1992, Chs. 1-2, 4.

Alexander B. Murphy, 1991. "Territorial Ideology and International Con ict: The Legacy of Prior Political Formations." In *The Political Geography of Con ict and Peace*, N. Kliot and S. Waterman, eds. London: Belhaven, 1991, pp. 126-141.

### Thursday, November 5

## 9. Factors that restraint con ict? { Law and Norms?

Paul K. Huth, Sarah E. Croco and Benjamin J. Appel. 2011. \Does International Law Promote the Peaceful Settlement of International Disputes? Evidence from the Study of Territorial Con icts since 1945." *American Political Science Review* 105(2):415{436.

Paul K. Huth, Sarah E Croco and Benjamin J Appel. 2012. \Law and the use of force in world politics: The varied e ects of law on the exercise of military power in territorial disputes." *International Studies Quarterly* 56(1):17{31.

Mark Zacher. \The Territorial Integrity Norm." *International Organization*, Vol. 55, No. 2 (Spring 2001), pp. 215{50.

Barkin, J. Samuel and Cronin, Bruce. 1994. `The State and the Nation: Changing Norms and the Rules of Sovereignty in International Relations." *International Organization* Vol. 48, No. 1. (Winter 1994), pp. 107{130.

### Thursday, November 12

## 10. Factors that restrain con ict? { Progress?

Steven Pinker (2011). *The Better Angels of our Nature.* New York, NY: Viking.

#### Thursday November 19

## 11. Factors that restrain con ict? { Regime Type?

Douglas M. Gibler, 2012. *The Territorial Peace: Borders, State Development, and International Con ict.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Thursday November 26

## 12. Thanksgiving Recess

Thursday December 3

#### 13. Student Presentations

You!

Thursday December 10

#### 14. Student Presentations

You!

Other readings of potential interest

15.

Questions to consider in formulating and evaluating social science research

#### 1. What is the central question?

Why is it important (theoretically, substantively)?

What is being explained (what is the dependent variable and how does it vary)?

How does this phenomenon present a puzzle?

#### 2. What is the central answer?

What is doing the explaining (what are the independent variables and how do they vary)?

What are the hypotheses, i.e., what is the relationship between independent and dependent variables, what kind of change in the independent variable causes what kind of change in the dependent variable?

What are the causal mechanisms, i.e., why are the independent and dependent variables so related?

How do the independent variables relate to each other?

What assumptions does your theory make?

Is the theory falsi able in concept?

What does this explanation add to our understanding of the question?

#### 3. What are the possible alternative explanations?

What assumptions are you making about the direction of causality?

What other explanations might there be for the phenomenon of study, and to what degree do they con ict with the central answer?

Could the hypothesized relationships have occurred by chance?

#### 4. Why are the possible alternative explanations wrong?

What is the logical structure of the alternative explanations (compare 2)?

What is the empirical evidence?

#### 5. What is the relationship between the theory and the evidence?

What does the research design allow to vary, i.e., in this design are the explanations variables or constants?

What does your research design hold constant, i.e., does it help to rule out the alternative competing explanations?

How are the theoretical constructs represented empirically, i.e., how do you know it when you see it (measurement)?

#### 6. How do the empirical conclusions relate to the theory?

How con dent are you about the theory in light of the evidence?

How widely do the conclusions generalize, i.e., what might be the limitations of the study?